

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

ASUS TECHNOLOGY LICENSING INC.
and CELERITY IP, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

V.

**CASE NO. 2:23-CV-00486-JRG-RSP
(LEAD CASE)**

AT&T CORP., AT&T MOBILITY LLC,
AT&T MOBILITY II LLC, and AT&T
SERVICES INC.,

Defendants.

ASUS TECHNOLOGY LICENSING INC.
and CELERITY IP, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

V.

CASE NO. 2:23-CV-00487-JRG-RSP
(MEMBER CASE)

T-MOBILE USA, INC.,

Defendant.

ASUS TECHNOLOGY LICENSING INC.
and CELERITY IP, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

V.

CASE NO. 2:23-CV-00488-JRG-RSP
(MEMBER CASE)

**CELLCO PARTNERSHIP d/b/a
VERIZON WIRELESS,**

Defendant.

INNOVATIVE SONIC LIMITED and
CELERITY IP, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

V.

AT&T CORP., AT&T MOBILITY LLC,
AT&T MOBILITY II LLC, and AT&T
SERVICES INC.,

Defendants.

INNOVATIVE SONIC LIMITED and
CELERITY IP, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

V.

T-MOBILE USA, INC.,

Defendant.

INNOVATIVE SONIC LIMITED and
CELERITY IP, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

V.

CELLCO PARTNERSHIP d/b/a
VERIZON WIRELESS,

Defendant.

ORDER

Before the Court is the Claim Construction Memorandum Opinion and Order (“Order”) of Magistrate Judge Payne dated April 21, 2025 (Dkt. No. 428). Defendants have filed Objections to the Order (Dkt. No. 437). Plaintiffs have also filed Objections (Dkt. No. 439.)

After considering the reasoning provided in the Order, the underlying claim construction briefing and Plaintiffs' and Defendants' Objections, the Court agrees with the conclusions reached

within the Order and finds Plaintiffs' and Defendants' arguments within their Objections unpersuasive. Accordingly, the Court **OVERRULES** Plaintiffs' and Defendants' Objections and **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Payne's Order.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 15th day of May, 2025.



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE